Lexical competition affects Cantonese tone mergers in word recognition Rachel Soo & Molly Babel

Cantonese has a rich inventory of 6 lexical tones, though researchers have observed that some of these may be merging (e.g., Kej et al., 2002). Mok et al. (2013) show that tones 2 and 5, 3 and 6, and 4 and 6 are merging, becoming less distinct in production and perception (Mok et al., 2013; see Fig. 1), and the degree of merger in these tones is influenced by phonetic similarity (Khouw & Ciocca, 2007; Soo & Monahan, 2017) and type frequency (Mok et al., 2013). Cross-linguistically, minimal pairs inhibit mergers (Wedel et al. 2013), and pressure from listeners' perceptual processes encourages or inhibits gradual phonetic change to maintain recognition accordingly in models of diachronic change (Hay et al. 2015; Todd et al., 2019). Given this, in the face of these mergers-in-progress, how does lexical competition structure phonetic variability synchronically? We examine the merging Cantonese tone categories by assessing listener categorization of tones with and without lexical competitors. In line with Todd et al., (2019), we predict that lexical competition will result in the maintenance of distinct tone categories, manifested with a steeper categorization function in items with lexical competitors than those without.

Methods. Early Cantonese-English bilingual listeners (n=20, mean age = 21 years) participated in two experiments (Exp 1: Categorization task, Exp 2: Lexical decision task). Participants were familiarized with the talker who does not have the tone mergers by listening to a short Cantonese story prior to each task. For the categorization task, listeners were presented items sampled from an 11-step continua (Kawahara et al., 2008) for 4 minimal pairs in each of the following tone pairs: 2-5, 3-6, 4-6 (Merging) and 2-3, 5-6 (Control, non-merging). Continua endpoints were Cantonese real words matched for frequency (e.g. /se4/ *snake -* /se6/ *to shoot*) pictured on either side of the screen. Listeners categorized individual tokens according to these pictures. For the lexical decision task, 11-step continua were again created for 4 minimal pairs in above Merging and Control tone pairs. However, in these pairs, the endpoints of the continuum were either words or nonwords (e.g. /tim4/ *sweet - */*tim6/; */dej4/ - /dej6/ *the ground*) and they were pictured on either side of the screen with a thumbs up or down image. Listeners heard individual tokens randomly sampled from the tone continua and responded via button-press as to whether they heard a real or nonword.

Results. Hierarchical generalized logistic models were used with maximally warranted structure. Tone type (Control vs. Merging) and continuum step (centered, scaled) were fixed effects. The dependent variables were proportion of responses for the tone at step 1 (Exp 1) and proportion of word responses (Exp 2). There was a significant interaction between step and tone type for pairs with lexical competitors in Exp 1 (β =1.12, SE=0.31, z=3.60, p<0.001) and for pairs without lexical competitors in Exp 2 (β =0.24, SE=0.12, z=2.24, p=0.02), suggesting that the categorization function for the Control pairs may be steeper than that for the Merging pairs. Analyses comparing categorization functions across experiments established an interaction between continuum step and the presence of a lexical competitor for Control items (β =0.95, SE=0.21, z=4.58, p<0.001), but not Merger items. This suggests that Cantonese listeners may maintain more discrete tone categories for items with tonal competitors for stable, but not for merging tones. While these findings are interpreted as the result of lexical competition, the presence of a lexical competitor was confounded with the experimental task (i.e., the lexical decision task only used words without a lexical competitor and the picture identification task had lexical competitors). To address this and to complement the current results, we are currently using a goodness rating paradigm, subjecting all items to the same task. We discuss these results in terms of the interplay between category variability, the lexicon, and models of phonology.

Lexical competition affects Cantonese tone mergers in word recognition Rachel Soo & Molly Babel



References

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2014). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software, 67(1), 1-48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01.

Hay, J. B., Pierrehumbert, J. B., Walker, A. J., & LaShell, P. (2015). Tracking word frequency effects through 130 years of sound change. *Cognition*, 139, 83-91.

Kawahara, H., Morise, M., Takahashi, T., Nisimura, R., Irino, T., & Banno, H. (2008, March). TANDEM-STRAIGHT: A temporally stable power spectral representation for periodic signals and applications to interference-free spectrum, F0, and aperiodicity estimation. In *2008 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing* (pp. 3933-3936). IEEE.

Kej, J., Smyth, V., So, L. K., Lau, C. C., & Capell, K. (2002). Assessing the accuracy of production of Cantonese lexical tones: A comparison between perceptual judgement and an instrumental measure. *Asia Pacific Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing*, 7(1), 25-38.

Khouw, E., & Ciocca, V. (2007). Perceptual correlates of Cantonese tones. *Journal of Phonetics*, *35*(1), 104-117. Mok, P. P., Zuo, D., & Wong, P. W. (2013). Production and perception of a sound change in progress: Tone merging in Hong Kong Cantonese. *Language variation and change*, *25*(3), 341-370.

Soo, R., & Monahan, P.J. (2017). Language Exposure Modulates the Role of Tone in Perception and Long Term Memory: Evidence from Cantonese Native and Heritage Speakers. Proceedings of the 43rd Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society. 2: 47-54.

Todd, S., Pierrehumbert, J. B., & Hay, J. (2019). Word frequency effects in sound change as a consequence of perceptual asymmetries: An exemplar-based model. *Cognition*, 185, 1-20.

Wedel, A., Kaplan, A., & Jackson, S. (2013). High functional load inhibits phonological contrast loss: A corpus study. *Cognition*, 128(2), 179-186.